

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,207	207 10/09/2001		Bernard Haveaux	F-727-DIV	7424	
25264	7590	12/15/2003		EXAMINER		
FINA TEC		GY INC	TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE			
PO BOX 674412 HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110051014	, 121 //2	0, 1112		1773		
				DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>v</b> -	_				609			
•		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/973,2	207	HAVEAUX ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	<del></del>			
			nce Tarazano	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT plication to become AB/	rply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely  rHS from the mailing date of this of  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>06 October 20</u>	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	b)□ This action is r	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 34 and 36-60 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 34 and 36-60 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c						
	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the case of the c	: a) ☐ accepted or b ction to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyan ired if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 Cl				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) \( \sum \)  13) \( \sum \)  13) \( \sum \)  14) \( \sum \)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the ince a specific reference was included  7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign lates Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lates Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lates Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lates Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lates Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lates	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents Bureau (PCT Rub) for a list of the certor domestic priority ed in the first sentence for domestic priority and age provisional after domestic priority	en received. en received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)). tified copies not under 35 U.S.C. tie of the specification has be under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No. <u>09/233,82</u> received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisional ation or in an Application een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	Stage I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
2) Notic	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No( nformal Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The examiner has withdrawn all the prior art rejections based on the applicants' arguments in the response dated 10/06/2003. The examiner agrees that melt flow index in the prior art is not measured the same as the applicants'. The applicants use a heavier weight, so more force is used to and thus the molecular weight is higher given the melt flow reported. The conditions used by applicants are indicative of a material having a very high molecular weight, and it does not appear that the closest prior art (EPO 0 628 577) would met the claimed molecular weight limitation. Since the applicants have amended all claims to recite a molecular weight of greater than 120 kD (120,000), the prior art is not applicable to any of the claims.

2. The election requirement has been with drawn and all the claims have been rejoined.

## Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 34, and 36-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,348,272. Application/Control Number: 09/973,207

Art Unit: 1773

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to uses of atactic / syndiotactic polymers having molecular weights above 120 kD, in which both the allow patent and the instant application claim films and other structures in common.

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703)-308-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on (703)-309-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-872-9310.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0661.

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

dlt

Friday, December 12, 2003